29th November 1928]

the University headquarters. The sanction of Government has only been given so far to the following contributions apart from some small contributions towards extension lectures organized by the University :—

		RS.
Guntur District Board	... ...	1 lakh,
Old Kistna District Board	... ...	3 lakhs,

while the actual payments hitherto made by each of these bodies are Rs. 40,000 and Rs. 50,000 respectively.

Mr. K. V. R. SWAMI :—"May I know whether the hon. the Minister would allow local bodies to make contributions to the universities ?"

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—"I already said to the local bodies concerned who applied for this permission that unless they are in a position to say that they are rendering the necessary services that they have to under the Act for the benefit of the locality, the Government consider that it would not be possible for them to give sanction for such large amounts being given as donations to the university."

Religious and Charitable Endowments

Number of temples in Kurnool district for which schemes have been framed by the Hindu Religious Endowments Board.

* 845 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO : Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to get the information and state—

(a) the number of temples in the district of Kurnool for which schemes have been framed by the Hindu Religious Endowments Board during the period 30th June 1927 to 30th June 1928 with the names of those temples ; and

(b) the number of temples which have been declared excepted temples in the Kurnool district during that period with the names of those temples?

A.—(a) One—Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Peravali, Pattikonda taluk.

(b) Two—Sri Kanyakaparameswari temple, Biyyam bazaar, Kurnool town, and Sri Kanyakaparameswari temple, Minchin bazaar, Kurnool town.

Alleged demand for contribution from Medam Venkayya Garu, Kurnool, for the Sri Kanyakaparameswari temple in Minchin bazaar.

* 846 Q.—Mr. G. HARISARVOTTAMA RAO : Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that the Hindu Religious Endowments Board has demanded contribution under section 3 of Act II of 1927 from Medam Venkayya Garu of Kurnool for an alleged temple of Sri Kanyakaparameswari in the Minchin bazaar ;

(b) whether the Government have instituted any inquiry as to the contents of the alleged temple and their ownership ;